

“PI & WD in Nebraska”

Michael J. O’Hara, J.D., Ph.D.

ABSTRACT:

The *Journal of Forensic Economics* is publishing a series of articles on each USA State's method of calculating damages in the context of personal injury and wrongful death (PI & WD). This manuscript is a draft of the manuscript that will be submitted to *JFE* on Nebraska's method..

AEF 2006 O'Hara

PI & WD in Neb

1

Make Whole

fictional transaction mimicking market

FAIR MARKET VALUE

is what a *willing* buyer would pay a willing seller when *no compulsion* binding either party, and with both having *full knowledge* of all relevant facts and material information (i.e., knowing and voluntary) in an *arms' length* transaction with *equity* to both.

Pecuniary

The law's prime remedy is price (i.e., cash); but, expansive definition of **MONETARY VALUE.**

AEF 2006 O'Hara

PI & WD in Neb

2

Economic Damages (use experts)

earnings
lost profits (less favored than earnings)
domestic services
medical expenses (especially future)
lost time
lost opportunity

Noneconomic Damages (no experts)

pain and suffering
inconvenience
society, companionship, consortium
reputation (?!?)
humiliation

AEF 2006 O'Hara

PI & WD in Neb

3

The plaintiff bears the **burden of proof** (i.e., both production and persuasion) on the measure of damages.

However, the burden is greater for the fact of damages than the burden is for the magnitude of damages.

Greater Weight of the Evidence

(a.k.a., preponderance of the evidence)

Reasonably Certain

not exact proof
more reasonable than certain

AEF 2006 O'Hara

PI & WD in Neb

4

Earnings: Actual

e.g., income tax records

Earning Capacity

range of jobs one can perform
forensic team
medical
vocational
economic

Earnings: Expected

Earning Capacity modified by Actual Earnings

AEF 2006 O'Hara

PI & WD in Neb

5

Mitigation

(a.k.a. *Doctrine of Avoidable Consequences*)

existence of duty is a question of law
judge is only expert on law
application of duty is a question of fact

Collateral Source

avoid rewarding the defendant
encourage precaution by the plaintiff

subrogation reduces risk of double recovery

AEF 2006 O'Hara

PI & WD in Neb

6

Present Cash Value

real discount rate
and
forecasting inflation

other growth rates and total offset

Economic damages

must be reduced to present cash value;
but,

noneconomic damages

need not be reduced to present value.

However,

noneconomic damages often capped.

AEF 2006 O'Hara

PI & WD in Neb

7

Expert (i.e., opinion) Testimony

assist the trier of fact

Frye

general acceptance
rejected polygraph in 1923

Daubert trilogy

gatekeeper
four foundation questions:
qualified expert
relevant
assist the trier of fact
not excluded per Rule 403

Although relevant, evidence may be excluded if its probative value is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusion of the issues, or misleading the jury, or by considerations of undue delay, waste of time, or needless presentation of cumulative evidence.

AEF 2006 O'Hara

PI & WD in Neb

8

Speculation

Nebraska follows majority rule:
income taxes are speculative.

Conjecture

Evidence must be the basis for the verdict:
but,
need not be the basis for an expert's opinion.

Passion

Punishment is the province of the Legislature.

AEF 2006 O'Hara

PI & WD in Neb

9

Hedonic Damages

a.k.a., value of life, *itself*
a.k.a., VSL: Value of a Statistical Life

Nebraska follows the majority rule:

- [1] as a matter of law,
no expert has the ability
to assist a trier of fact on this question of fact;
and
[2] this value is embedded
in other noneconomic damages.

AEF 2006 O'Hara

PI & WD in Neb

10

Punitive Damages

Contrary to popular reporting,
Nebraska does allow for defendant liability for
punitive damages.

However,
the **plaintiff does NOT get the money.**
The money goes to the Permanent School Fund
(i.e., K12 endowment).

AEF 2006 O'Hara

PI & WD in Neb

11

Nebraska Supreme Court Committee on Practice and Procedure. *Nebraska Jury Instructions, Second Edition*. 2004 Edition. Including Civil Instructions and Criminal Instructions. Thomson. 2004. (Vol. 1, Nebraska Practice Series, West Group, 2004).

Daniel A. Morris. *Nebraska Trials*, Second Edition. Thomson, 2001. (Vol. 2, Nebraska Practice Series, West Group, 2001, Pocket Part 2004.)

R. Collin Mangrum, *Mangrum on Nebraska Evidence*. Thomson, 2003. (Vol. 3, Nebraska Practice Series, West Group, 2003.)

AEF 2006 O'Hara

PI & WD in Neb

12